

Final report Tuhinga whakamutunga

Rail inquiry RO-2024-102 Freight Train 882 Near miss with track workers Main South Line, Hornby 27 km 7 March 2024

May 2025



The Transport Accident Investigation Commission Te Kōmihana Tirotiro Aituā Waka

No repeat accidents – ever!

"The principal purpose of the Commission shall be to determine the circumstances and causes of accidents and incidents with a view to avoiding similar occurrences in the future, rather than to ascribe blame to any person."

Transport Accident Investigation Commission Act 1990, s4 Purpose

The Transport Accident Investigation Commission is an independent Crown entity and standing commission of inquiry. We investigate selected maritime, aviation and rail accidents and incidents that occur in New Zealand or involve New Zealand-registered aircraft or vessels.

Our investigations are for the purpose of avoiding similar accidents and incidents in the future. We determine and analyse contributing factors, explain circumstances and causes, identify safety issues, and make recommendations to improve safety. Our findings cannot be used to pursue criminal, civil, or regulatory action.

At the end of every inquiry, we share all relevant knowledge in a final report. We use our information and insight to influence others in the transport sector to improve safety, nationally and internationally.

Commissioners

| Chief Commissioner | Jane Meares (until 30 September 2024) |
|---------------------------|--|
| Chief Commissioner | David Clarke (from 1 October 2024) |
| Deputy Chief Commissioner | Stephen Davies Howard |
| Commissioner | Paula Rose, QSO |
| Commissioner | Bernadette Roka Arapere |
| Commissioner | David Clarke (until 30 September 2024) |

Key Commission personnel

| Chief Executive | Martin Sawyers |
|---|----------------------|
| Chief Investigator of Accidents | Naveen Kozhuppakalam |
| Investigator-in-Charge for this inquiry | Louise Cook |
| Acting Commission General Counsel | Polly Leeming |

Notes about Commission reports Kōrero tāpiri ki ngā pūrongo o te Kōmihana

Citations and referencing

The citations section of this report lists public documents. Documents unavailable to the public (that is, not discoverable under the Official Information Act 1982) are referenced in footnotes. Information derived from interviews during the Commission's inquiry into the occurrence is used without attribution.

Photographs, diagrams, pictures

The Commission owns the photographs, diagrams and pictures in this report unless otherwise specified.

Verbal probability expressions

For clarity, the Commission uses standardised terminology where possible.

One example of this standardisation is the terminology used to describe the degree of probability (or likelihood) that an event happened, or a condition existed in support of a hypothesis. The Commission has adopted this terminology from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and Australian Transport Safety Bureau models. The Commission chose these models because of their simplicity, usability, and international use. The Commission considers these models reflect its functions. These functions include making findings and issuing recommendations based on a wide range of evidence, whether or not that evidence would be admissible in a court of law.

| Terminology | Likelihood | Equivalent terms |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Virtually certain | > 99% probability of occurrence | Almost certain |
| Very likely | > 90% probability | Highly likely, very probable |
| Likely | > 66% probability | Probable |
| About as likely as not | 33% to 66% probability | More or less likely |
| Unlikely | < 33% probability | Improbable |
| Very unlikely | < 10% probability | Highly unlikely |
| Exceptionally unlikely | < 1% probability | |



Figure 1: Main South Line, Hornby, and safe work system lock-on at worksites



Figure 2: Location of incident (Credit: Land Information New Zealand Toitū Te Whenua)

Contents Rārangi take

| 1 | Executive summary | 1 |
|---|--|----|
| | What happened | 1 |
| | Why it happened | 1 |
| | What we can learn | 2 |
| | Who may benefit | 2 |
| 2 | Factual information | 3 |
| | Background | 3 |
| | Narrative | 3 |
| | Personnel information | |
| | Train/Vehicle information | |
| | Recorded data | |
| | Worksite information | |
| | Organisational information | |
| | Previous occurrences | 14 |
| 3 | Analysis | 16 |
| | Introduction | |
| | Track-protection processes | |
| | KiwiRail obligations as rail licence holder | |
| | Obligations of rail participants under the Railways Act 2005 | |
| | KiwiRail training courses and certification | |
| | Supervision of safety-critical tasks performed by trainees | |
| | Qualifications of and training for supervisors | |
| | Supervision of trainee Rail Protection Officer | 21 |
| | Engineering controls for worksite protection | |
| 4 | Findings | 24 |
| 5 | Safety issues and remedial action | 25 |
| | General | 25 |
| 6 | Recommendations | 26 |
| | General | |
| | New recommendations | |
| 7 | Key lessons | 28 |
| 8 | Data summary | 29 |

| 9 | Conduct o | f the inquiry | .30 |
|-----|-----------|---|-----|
| Арр | endix 1 | Daily Information Bulletin | .37 |
| Арр | endix 2 | TS90 Work Site Register, lock-on frame and colour coded padlocks used | .38 |
| Арр | endix 3 | Managing a Protected Work Area Job Aid – Single Work Site | .39 |
| Арр | endix 4 | Railways Act 2005 | .43 |

Figures

| Figure 1: Main South Line, Hornby, and safe work system lock-on at worksites | iii |
|---|-----|
| Figure 2: Location of incident | iv |
| Figure 3: Compulsory-stop protection board placements | 4 |
| Figure 4: Description and meaning of signs | 5 |
| Figure 5: MSL between Parker Street and Halswell Junction Road level crossings | 6 |
| Figure 6: Location of the worksite, safe place and train movements | 8 |
| Figure 7: Completed TS92 Single Work Site – Protected Work Area Logbook | 9 |
| Figure 8: TS90 Work Site Register used to detail track workers and vehicles on site | 10 |
| Figure 9: Lock-on frame and padlocks | 11 |
| Figure 10: View of the MSL looking towards signal 2090 and the worksite | 13 |
| Figure 11: The WETA system | 23 |

1 Executive summary Tuhinga whakarāpopoto

What happened

- 1.1. On 7 March 2024, Fulton Hogan Limited (Fulton Hogan) was undertaking construction work within the rail corridor¹ on the Main South Line, between the intersections of Halswell Junction Road and Parker Street at Hornby, Christchurch.
- 1.2. The protection arrangement for track workers² accessing the rail corridor was compulsory-stop protection³ managed by Fulton Hogan's trainee Rail Protection Officer⁴ (RPO) and a supervising RPO.
- 1.3. While working under the compulsory-stop protection, the trainee RPO authorised two trains to pass through the worksite⁵ while track workers were clear of the track.
- 1.4. After the first train had cleared the worksite, the trainee RPO authorised the track workers to access the track to commence work before the second train had passed through the worksite.
- 1.5. At about 0900⁶ the level-crossing protection alarms at Halswell Junction Road and Parker Street activated, with bells ringing. The track workers realised that a train was approaching the worksite and left the track for the safe place⁷. No one was injured.

Why it happened

- 1.6. The trainee RPO was carrying out tasks that required a high level of proficiency. They were unsupervised, had limited experience and became distracted. This led to an important step in the process of track protection being missed.
- 1.7. The supervising RPO was absent while the trainee RPO was performing the safetycritical⁸ tasks associated with track protection. The trainee RPO did not register that they had not followed the accepted practice of authorising track workers to enter the rail corridor only when the worksite was clear of rail traffic, and the supervising RPO was not available to provide the guidance necessary to rectify the situation.
- 1.8. The supervising RPO had not been provided with any additional training in coaching and mentoring personnel undertaking safety-critical roles, and the level of supervision required had not been clearly articulated or documented.

¹ The land between the legal boundaries of railway land or land within 3 metres (m) of the centreline of any operational track where the land boundary is less than this distance

² Competent workers whose primary duties are associated with work on or around infrastructure in the rail corridor

³ A sequence of boards used to protect rail personnel and rail vehicles from entering a planned Protected Work Area

⁴ The person with overall responsibility for providing rail protection for the Protected Work Area

⁵ An area with defined limits that is protected so that work can be undertaken

⁶ Times in this report are in New Zealand Daylight Time (Universal Coordinated Time +13 hours) expressed in a 24-hour format.

⁷ A place where people and equipment cannot be struck by passing rail traffic

⁸ Directly influencing safety (when applied to equipment or systems)

What we can learn

1.9. Providing adequate training for supervisors is necessary to ensure that there is a clear understanding of what adequate supervision means and its importance in maintaining safety, particularly where supervision relates to mentoring and coaching trainees conducting safety-critical tasks.

Who may benefit

1.10. The lessons from this incident will benefit all industries where the supervision of safety-critical tasks performed by inexperienced or unqualified staff is necessary to maintain safety.

2 Factual information Pārongo pono

Background

- 2.1. Between January and March 2024, KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail) contracted Fulton Hogan Limited (Fulton Hogan) to install pits and conduits⁹ for data cabling within the rail corridor. The location of the work was on the Main South Line (MSL) between Rolleston and Hornby. Fulton Hogan had subcontracted some of the work to K Drainage Limited.
- 2.2. KiwiRail provided information on the planned work, including the protection arrangements,¹⁰ in its Daily Information Bulletin¹¹ (DIB).
- 2.3. The DIB was used to inform:
 - train controllers about the locations of planned work and the intended protection methods for and timings of the work on tracks
 - the rail protection officers (RPOs) about the work protection systems that were authorised, and where the protections were to be placed
 - the locomotive engineers operating in the locality about the protection arrangements, so they could plan their train handling accordingly.
- 2.4. Fulton Hogan was to conduct the planned work under KiwiRail's rail licence, approved safety case¹² and safety system,¹³ as it was not required to be licensed under the Railways Act 2005 (the Act).
- 2.5. KiwiRail was required to take all reasonable steps (including providing necessary monitoring personnel and resources) to ensure that Fulton Hogan carried out the works in accordance with KiwiRail's licence, the approved safety case, the safety system and any relevant rules as required by section 22 of the Act. Fulton Hogan was using its own RPOs to oversee the protection of track workers and equipment accessing the track.

Narrative

- 2.6. On 7 March 2024, a trainee RPO and supervising RPO employed by Fulton Hogan started work on preparing the protection arrangements for the Protected Work Area¹⁴ (PWA) listed in the DIB dated 7 March 2024 (*see* Appendix 1).
- 2.7. The protection arrangements were scheduled to start at 0840 using compulsory-stop protection. This method of protection was prescribed in KiwiRail's Track Safety Rules¹⁵ as an authorised method of protection and required the placement of signage boards

⁹ A tube or trough for protecting electric wiring

¹⁰ The safe-work method used to protect track workers from rail movements through the worksite

¹¹ A controlled instruction, printed, typed or handwritten, issued by those authorised by the Rail Operating Rules

¹² A comprehensive document that outlines the safety risks associated with a system or installation and explains how these risks are managed

¹³ A written record of all the management and operational policies and practices that relate to the safe conduct of rail activities, including the operational and training manuals

¹⁴ A section of line or lines where rail personnel carry out activities using an approved protection method ¹⁵ Rule 905, Track Safety Rules, 6 November 2021



at each approach to the worksite. The arrangement of the boards followed a defined sequence and minimum distance from the worksite (*see* Figure 3).

Figure 3: Compulsory-stop protection board placements (Source: KiwiRail Rules and Procedures – Track Safety Rule 905)

2.8. The description of the boards, together with the meaning of each sign was contained in KiwiRail's Track Safety Rule 905(c) (*see* Figure 4).

| | Advance Warning Board | Inner Warning Board | Compulsory Stop Board | Work Area Begins and ENDS Boards |
|---------|--|--|---|---|
| Sign | Caution | Reflectorised | STOP Reflectorised | WORK AREA Begins ENDS Reflectorised blue with |
| | yellow / green with reflectorised orange strip top and bottom | orange with letter W in centre. The W denotes "Whistle" | orange with reflectorised red circle | yellow letters Double sided board |
| Meaning | Caution, prepare to stop at Compulsory Stop Board ahead | Sound Whistle, prepare to stop at Compulsory Stop Board | Stop, obtain authority from RPO shown on the call sign board to pass the Compulsory Stop Board and sound horn before proceeding | Indicates the boundary between Work Area and Safety Buffer Zone |

Figure 4: Description and meaning of signs (Source: KiwiRail Rules and Procedures – Track Safety Rule 905)

- 2.9. The location of the intended work area (a single worksite) was at Hornby, between the level crossings at Halswell Junction Road (21.27 km)¹⁶ and Parker Street (20.69 km) (*see* Figure 5).
- 2.10. The specified PWA required the placement of the boards at Middleton (17.50 km) and Rolleston (25.10 km) on the MSL. The supervising RPO and trainee RPO planned to travel to these locations separately, with the trainee RPO tasked with placing the boards at the Middleton end and the supervising RPO taking the Rolleston end of the PWA.

¹⁶ Track kilometre (km) defines the location from a reference point. On the MSL the reference point is Lyttleton, which is at 0 km, and ends in Invercargill at 601.40 km.



Figure 5: MSL between Parker Street and Halswell Junction Road level crossings

- 2.11. Before the placement of the protection boards, two train services were scheduled to pass through the location where the PWA was to be established. The first of these was the TranzAlpine passenger service, Train 803, heading towards Rolleston. This was to be followed by a freight train service, Train 882, heading towards Middleton.
- 2.12. The TranzAlpine service cleared the location by 0820 as scheduled, but Train 882 was delayed. The service was not expected to pass through the worksite before 0840, at which time the PWA would need to be established in accordance with the DIB.
- 2.13. The DIB specified that the PWA was to be in place from 0840 to 1730 and that the worksite location was to use the E-Protect¹⁷ system. The use of this system meant that even without the placement of the compulsory-stop boards¹⁸, the E-Protect would be active.
- 2.14. At 0830 the trainee RPO and the supervising RPO had placed the required boards at both ends of the PWA. The trainee RPO contacted train control by radio to report that the stop protection was in place at both approaches to the PWA.
- 2.15. The Transport Accident Investigation Commission (the Commission) obtained the recorded voice logs from KiwiRail's Train Control System and determined that train control had acknowledged the call and advised the trainee RPO that two services were expected through the location that morning. These were the delayed Train 882 and a shunt¹⁹ from Middleton.²⁰ Train control further advised the trainee RPO that the

¹⁷ E-Protect is a system on locomotives that uses Global Positioning System (GPS) technology to monitor the speed of trains approaching compulsory-stop boards (CSB) and applies a penalty brake if a train does not stop at the CSB location. The system is designed only to enforce a stopping sequence. Once the train has stopped, the E-Protect system becomes inactive, allowing the train to be moved through the PWA. The placement of the compulsory-stop protection boards is a necessary reminder for locomotive engineers to prepare their trains for stopping at designated places to avoid emergency brake applications.

¹⁸ A safe-working notice board inscribed 'stop' and/or displaying a red coloured symbol, at which all trains must stop and must not pass until authorised.

¹⁹ A generic term for the movement of locomotives, wagons and carriages using a purpose-built railway engine (shunt)

²⁰ Train control used the term 'shunt from Middleton' in reference to Train 973.

trains were due around 0815, before correcting their transmission and providing a time of 0945.

- 2.16. With the compulsory-stop protection in place, the trainee RPO made their way back to the designated safe place²¹ located on the south side of Waterloo Road (*see* Figure 6). The track workers and machinery, which included an excavator and a hydrovac truck²², were already at the location. The trainee RPO advised the track workers that the track could not be accessed until Train 882 had cleared the worksite.
- 2.17. The trainee RPO was experiencing interference on the radio, which they attributed to the overhead power lines. They relocated their vehicle to the north side of the road to maintain clear radio communication with train control and any approaching trains that needed authorisation through the worksite.

²¹ A designated place where people and equipment cannot be struck by passing rail traffic

²² A vacuum excavator truck purpose-built for non-destructive digging and locating underground service





2.18. While waiting for the arrival of Train 882, the trainee RPO pre-empted the train movement by entering '882' into the first column of 'Rail Movement²³ ID' in the TS92 Single Work Site – Protected Work Area Logbook²⁴ (TS92 logbook) (see Figure 7).

| | olingie | TOTA Site | - Flotected | a work A | rea Logbook | |
|--|---------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Date 07-03-24 | Protected N Area | Nork 17.5 | Keron . | to Die R | Train 882 entered here | nsh |
| Work Site Clearance cros | scheck 2 | | | | change of train | |
| Rail Movement ID Request to enter Protected Work Area (hrs.) | 0847 | 882 | 849 | 900 | number | 2022 |
| Work Site Start 1) | · · · · | ! | 020 | | time worksite | - |
| All Locked Off in Safe Place & Work Site clear (hrs.) | 0830 | 0830 | 0957 | 0957 | started | 1105 |
| Start Clear at | | | | | time worksite | Clear. |
| Atart Start | | | | | clear | 1. |
| Clear at | | | | | time train given | |
| Speed Restriction (TSR) | N garas | | and the second | 1 × 40 | authority to optor | 1 |
| Authority to Enter Protected Work Area / Lockout Zones released (hrs.) | 0847 | 0852 | 1007 | 1014 | the PWA | 1231 |

Figure 7: Completed TS92 Single Work Site – Protected Work Area Logbook

- 2.19. At 0846 Train 973 arrived at the Middleton end of the PWA. The locomotive engineer stopped Train 973 and contacted the trainee RPO for permission to enter and pass through the worksite.
- 2.20. The trainee RPO was surprised by the call as they had not expected a train movement at that time. They altered the TS92 logbook by placing a line through the number '882' and replacing it with '973', then writing '882' in the next column along.
- 2.21. At 0847 the trainee RPO authorised the locomotive engineer of Train 973 to enter the PWA and travel through the worksite, proceeding at the normal track speed. The trainee RPO wrote this into the TS92 logbook.
- 2.22. The trainee RPO then directed their attention to locating Train 882 using the GeVis application²⁵ on a mobile device. The train was between Rolleston and Templeton and had not yet arrived at the stop board.
- 2.23. At 0851 Train 882 stopped at the Rolleston end of the PWA. The locomotive engineer contacted the trainee RPO for permission to enter the worksite. At 0852 the trainee RPO authorised the locomotive engineer of Train 882 to enter the PWA and travel through the worksite, writing this in the TS92 logbook.

²³ The operation on rail of rail traffic

²⁴ A document to be completed when undertaking protection duties using stop protection

²⁵ A KiwiRail software application that displays dynamic geographical location of trains on the network. It is a source of information and is not designed for use as a safe-working system.

- 2.24. With the communications complete and both Train 973 and Train 882 authorised to enter the worksite, the trainee RPO moved their vehicle back across the road to the designated safe place.
- 2.25. The trainee RPO began filling in the Fulton Hogan risk control plan form²⁶ while the track workers completed their respective entries into the TS90 Work Site Register (see Figure 8). At about that time Train 973 passed the worksite and the safe place, where the trainee RPO and track workers were located, as it headed towards Rolleston.



Figure 8: TS90 Work Site Register used to detail track workers and vehicles on site

2.26. Train 882 had also entered the PWA but had not yet arrived at the worksite. The trainee RPO placed their green padlock onto the lock-on frame²⁷ and commenced the process of authorising the track workers to enter the rail corridor. This involved the trainee RPO presenting the lock-on frame to the track workers, so that each track worker could place their personal lock onto the frame (*see* Figure 9).

²⁶ Documentation relating to Fulton Hogan's internal processes of documenting and managing risks on a worksite, incidental to the RPO duties.

²⁷A metal frame device that contains numbered locations where individually assigned padlocks are attached, to signify that a padlock owner is occupying the Danger Area within a PWA. Before the RPO authorises personnel to leave the safe place, they must attach their RPO padlock to the lock-on frame handle. All personnel and visitors on site must then attach padlocks for themselves before leaving the safe place, and padlocks for any vehicles under their control that will foul the track. When clearing the track for a rail movement (train), personnel must secure vehicles under their control in a safe mode, clear of the track, before returning to the safe place and locking off. After removing their padlock, personnel must not foul the track until they are authorised to resume work by the RPO. The requirement to use the system was contained in KiwiRail's Track Safety Rule 902(g).



Figure 9: Lock-on frame and padlocks

- 2.27. The four track workers then placed their respective padlocks on to their designated numbers located on the lock-on frame. These numbers coincided with the numbers on the TS90 Work Site Register, where the workers had previously signed and provided their particulars (*see* Figure 8).
- 2.28. The track workers who had locked their padlocks onto the lock-on frame left the safe place and entered the rail corridor to commence work. Their designated tasks included placing conduit piping alongside the rail tracks in preparation for the excavation work. One of the track workers, a designated mobile-plant controller,²⁸ made their way to the excavator that was parked clear of the track.
- 2.29. At about 0900, the track workers and the trainee RPO heard the level-crossing alarms at Halswell Junction Road and Parker Street, indicating an approaching train. They responded by immediately clearing the rail corridor and returning to the safe place.
- 2.30. It was at this time that the trainee RPO realised they had not accounted for Train 882 before locking on the track workers and authorising them to enter the rail corridor.
- 2.31. At 09:01:09 Train 882 crossed Halswell Junction Road level crossing and entered the worksite. The track workers had all cleared the track before the train passed through, but their padlocks and the trainee RPO's padlock were still attached to the lock-on frame.
- 2.32. At about the same time, the supervising RPO, who had been absent from the safe place, returned and noticed that the lock-on frame still had the padlocks attached when the train passed through the worksite. They identified that there had been a significant departure from the correct process.
- 2.33. The supervising RPO took control of the site and protection duties, the communications with train control, the TS90 Work Site Register, the TS92 logbook and the lock-on frame. The trainee RPO contacted Fulton Hogan management and

²⁸ A person responsible for authorising the movements of mobile plant within a worksite

reported the incident, and the matter was later reported to KiwiRail. The worksite was subsequently closed for the remainder of the day. There were no injuries to any of the track workers.

Personnel information

- 2.34. The trainee RPO was employed by Fulton Hogan and was undergoing training for qualification as an RPO. Trainees are not 'licensed to operate' until they are fully qualified. The qualification is obtained through an assessment process conducted by the KiwiRail Operational Safety Advisor. Assessments can only be undertaken once the theory-based training and all practical 'On-the-Job Training' (OJT)²⁹ tasks have been completed by the trainees. Once qualified, RPOs are authorised to operate on KiwiRail networks and are subject to routine safety observations³⁰ and revalidations.
- 2.35. The trainee RPO had completed the theory-based training between July and September 2023 and had fulfilled two of the ten competencies gained through OJT. At the time of the incident they were receiving OJT for stop-protection duties.
- 2.36. The supervising RPO was employed by Fulton Hogan. They had about 15 years' experience in rail-protection duties in New Zealand and overseas. They were qualified for the protection duties being undertaken at the time.
- 2.37. The supervising RPO had some previous experience in training other personnel in rail-protection duties. However, they had not received any additional or formative training for their role as a supervisor of trainee RPOs and had not completed the Coaching for Competency³¹ training course in KiwiRail's training system.

Train/Vehicle information

- 2.38. Train 882 was a freight train consisting of a DXB-class diesel electric locomotive as the lead locomotive. The train length was 316 metres (m), and it had a gross weight of 460 tonnes (t).
- 2.39. Train 973 was a light engine (locomotive only) operation consisting of a DCP-class diesel electric locomotive.

Recorded data

- 2.40. The locomotives of Train 882 and Train 973 were both fitted with Tranzlog data recorders. The information from the recorders was obtained by the Commission to inform the investigation.
- 2.41. The Tranzlog recorder showed the trains' positions and speeds along the route as well as the drivers' inputs and the timing of voice calls.

²⁹ The placement of a trainee with a licensed practitioner in actual operations to carry out safety-critical tasks. The purpose of this training is for the trainee to gain exposure to a range of experiences and become fully competent in the designated tasks.

³⁰ 'In the field assessments' of rail personnel applying practical skills to activities specified in their licences to operate

³¹ The Coaching for Competency training course is designed to equip 'On-the-Job' coaches, trainers, minders, verifiers, buddies and approved persons with the skills and knowledge required to be effective trainers who can design and deliver activities to support On-the-Job Training and collect information for assessments.

Worksite information

2.42. The worksite location consisted of a duplicated track with UP and DOWN directions³² (*see* Figure 10).



Figure 10: View of the MSL looking towards signal 2090 and the worksite

- 2.43. The track immediately before the worksite entry has a curve with a 1000 m radius, curving to the right when viewed from the direction of Halswell Junction Road towards the worksite location. The track geometry and surrounding environment provide limited visibility for sighting approaching trains.
- 2.44. The method of controlling train movements is automatic signalling, which uses coloured light signals controlled by a train controller based in the Wellington Train Control Centre.
- 2.45. Parker Street and Halswell Junction Road both had level crossings protected by halfarm barriers, flashing lights and audible alarm systems that were operating at the time of this occurrence.
- 2.46. The normal track speed for freight trains at this location is 80 kilometres per hour (km/h). There was a temporary speed restriction of 40 km/h on the line at the time of the incident, which was unrelated to the work being undertaken.

Organisational information

KiwiRail Holdings Limited

2.47. KiwiRail Holdings Limited (KiwiRail) is a New Zealand state-owned enterprise. It operates trains and rail vehicles, controls rail movements on the national rail network, and maintains the railway infrastructure.

³² Trains running towards Lyttleton on the MSL in the South Island are UP trains and those running towards Invercargill are DOWN trains. UP trains have even numbers and DOWN trains have odd numbers.

2.48. KiwiRail is a rail operator³³ and the rail access provider and therefore requires a licence under the Act.

Fulton Hogan Limited

- 2.49. Fulton Hogan provides rail and civil construction services, including the inner-city, suburban and regional rail projects.
- 2.50. Fulton Hogan is a rail participant³⁴ under the Act, but for the purposes of this work it was not required to be a rail license holder. The track workers and RPOs employed by Fulton Hogan and its subcontractors were rail personnel under the Act.

Previous occurrences

Rail Inquiry RO-2014-104

- 2.51. In 2014 a train collided with an excavator on the North Island Main Trunk Line (Transport Accident Investigation Commission, December 2016). A track maintenance work area had been established between National Park and Raurimu on the North Island Main Trunk and an RPO was in charge of the site's safety. Two trains were scheduled to pass through the area. The first train passed through without incident and the RPO authorised the second train to pass through the area. However, an excavator driver had driven onto the track to start work.
- 2.52. The train had passed the main work group and rounded a curve in the track when the train driver saw the excavator on the track ahead. Despite an emergency brake application, the train could not be stopped before it collided with the excavator. Non-compliance with KiwiRail's standard operating procedures for the planning, establishment and running of the PWA was a factor that contributed to the accident.
- 2.53. The inquiry into this occurrence identified a key lesson: track workers with safetycritical roles can be placed in unsafe situations when standard operating procedures are not followed. This inquiry prompted the introduction of KiwiRail's Track Safety Rule 902 – Managing a protected work area, and the lock-on procedures designed to ensure that track workers are clear of tracks before rail movements are authorised to enter worksites.

Rail Inquiry RO-2019-101

- 2.54. In 2019, an RPO conducting protection duties in Westfield, Auckland allowed a signals technician into a work area without the knowledge of train control. Subsequently, electronic protection was removed by train control while a signals technician was still conducting work (Transport Accident Investigation Commission, May 2020).
- 2.55. The key lesson from this investigation was that all personnel undertaking safetycritical roles should adhere to the principles underlying the application of nontechnical skills to ensure that they share the same mental models and have a clear understanding of what is required of themselves and others to complete a task safely.

³³ Provides or operates a rail vehicle, whether or not it engages rail personnel to do so, or to assist in doing so, on its behalf. It does not include those rail personnel.

³⁴ Section 4 of the Railways Act 2005 defines a rail participant, which includes a maintenance provider (*see* Appendix 4)

Rail Inquiry RO-2020-104

- 2.56. In 2020 a freight train on the East Coast Main Trunk line entered a section of track that the RPO believed was part of a PWA and that was already occupied by a contractor operating a hi-rail vehicle. A collision between the train and the hi-rail vehicle was only avoided because the driver of the hi-rail vehicle had voluntarily cleared the track about five minutes earlier (Transport Accident Investigation Commission, January 2022).
- 2.57. This investigation identified that KiwiRail had recorded 61 track-occupancy irregularities between June 2019 and May 2021. Of these 61 incidents, 21 were attributable to miscommunication.
- 2.58. The Commission recommended that KiwiRail carry out an analysis of how it could best incorporate engineering control measures into both its current and future operations to minimise the risks of human factors in the effective protection of track workers (*see* Rail inquiry RO-2020-104 recommendation 009/21).

Rail Inquiry RO-2023-103

- 2.59. In 2023, the driver of a Transdev passenger train reported to train control an unexpected sighting of track workers. The track workers had arrived at the northern entrance to a tunnel without the required permission and without any protection from rail traffic (Transport Accident Investigation Commission, March 2024).
- 2.60. Train control had recorded the intended track occupation by the track workers on the train control diagram at locations different from those requested by the track workers, and applied electronic-blocking protection at those incorrect locations. The track workers did not identify the location discrepancies while listening to train control stating the protection details and repeated back the incorrect locations without challenge.
- 2.61. The key lesson from this investigation was that all personnel undertaking safetycritical roles should adhere to the principles underlying the application of nontechnical skills to ensure that they share the same mental models and have a clear understanding of what is required of themselves and others to complete tasks safely.

ATSB Rail Investigation RI-2014-011

- 2.62. The Australian Transport Safety Bureau (ATSB) has investigated several accidents and incidents involving maintenance work being performed on or near railway tracks. The ATSB *SafetyWatch*, introduced in 2012 to emphasise broad transport safety concerns in Australia, also highlighted 'safe work on rail'. (Australian Transport Safety Bureau, 2017)
- 2.63. The ATSB commented that incidents were predominantly a result of errors during the implementation or dissolution stages of providing track protection. Either protections were removed incorrectly or prematurely, or key communication exchanges failed to establish the location of the worksite with respect to approaching rail traffic.

3 Analysis Tātaritanga

Introduction

- 3.1. The following section analyses the circumstances surrounding the event to identify those factors that increased the likelihood of the event occurring or increased the severity of its outcome. It also examines any safety issues that have the potential to adversely affect future operations.
- 3.2. The safe separation and protection of track workers from rail vehicles is a fundamental premise of any rail operation. It is therefore essential that robust and proven safe methods of working are in place to prevent potential interactions between track workers and rail traffic.
- 3.3. On this occasion the trainee RPO allowed personnel to enter the rail corridor without following the correct procedure. As a result, a significant safety barrier was breached.
- 3.4. The following analysis discusses the event and the circumstances surrounding the entry of rail traffic into a PWA while track workers were still present and working on the track.

Track-protection processes

- 3.5. The PWA was being managed by a trainee RPO under the supervision of an RPO. The purpose of the PWA was to ensure that all personnel within the worksite were protected from rail movements.
- 3.6. The rules required protection to be applied when work was to be carried out or had the potential to be carried out within 4 m of the centre line of the closest railway line.
- 3.7. The PWA is the defined section of line or lines where track workers are carrying out activities using approved protection methods. The protection method applied was KiwiRail's Track Safety Rule 905 Compulsory-Stop Protection.
- 3.8. The protection method had been properly applied at this location and it was working as intended, with both Train 973 and Train 882 stopping at the respective compulsory-stop boards and obtaining permission to enter the PWA.
- 3.9. Additional systems were in place with the application of KiwiRail's Track Safety Rule 902 – Managing a protected work area. The purpose of this rule was to ensure that all personnel and equipment on a worksite were accounted for when starting work on track and when completing and clearing the track before the PWA was released back to rail traffic.
- 3.10. The rule required each track worker to have a padlock that they locked onto a frame when on track and removed when clear of the track at the designated safe place. The process also accounted for vehicles on track or positioned clear of the track at a designated area as appropriate, with their padlocks removed from the lock-on frames.
- 3.11. Each of the padlocks was personal to the owner or the vehicle, and colour coded according to the role of the padlock (*see* Appendix 2).

- 3.12. The compulsory-stop protection, and the lock-on process were recorded on the TS90 Work Site Register and in the TS92 logbook. These protections were administrative controls and the process had to be followed meticulously to be effective.
- 3.13. The trainee RPO had entered into the TS92 both the train details and the time that each train was given authority to pass the compulsory-stop boards. However, following the passage of Train 973 past the worksite, the presentation of the lock-on frame to the track workers without entering the worksite start time on the TS92 logbook was contrary to the guidance provided in KiwiRail's Track Safety Rule 902 Managing a Protected Work Area Job Aid (*see* Appendix 3).
- 3.14. This procedural error meant that the opportunity to recall the next step in the process of waiting for Train 882 to pass was missed. Had the trainee RPO taken the step to record that detail in the TS92 logbook, it is **likely** that they would have recalled that Train 882 had yet to pass the worksite and would not have proceeded to the next step of presenting the lock-on frame to the track workers.
- 3.15. Stop protection on duplicated tracks and two trains approaching from opposite directions require high levels of proficiency. The trainee RPO was carrying out these tasks unsupervised and with limited experience.
- 3.16. In interview, the trainee RPO recalled that at the time they 'had lost [their] place and there was too much going on'. It is at these times that trainees need the support and close supervision of experienced and competent trainers/mentors.
- 3.17. Had the supervising RPO been present to provide guidance and intervention when the trainee RPO authorised the track workers to access the worksite while Train 882 was approaching, it is **likely** that the error would have been detected and appropriate action/s taken to prevent the near miss incident.

KiwiRail obligations as rail licence holder

Safety issue 1: KiwiRail, as the rail licence holder,³⁵ did not take all reasonable steps to ensure that Fulton Hogan carried out track-worker protection in accordance with KiwiRail's safety case and safety system. KiwiRail's RPO training, provided to Fulton Hogan RPOs, did not describe the level or purpose of supervision of a trainee RPO.

Obligations of rail participants under the Railways Act 2005

- 3.18. The Act establishes a duty of rail participants and persons working for rail participants to ensure the health and safety of persons so far as is reasonably practicable.³⁶ The Act further requires certain rail participants to be licensed.³⁷ Operators and rail access providers that are required to be licensed need to develop safety cases, which must be submitted to and approved by the Rail Regulator, New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi, as a prerequisite to the issuing of a licence.
- 3.19. Section 30 of the Act specifies, among other requirements, that a safety case must contain a statement or description, as appropriate, of the following:

(g) the arrangements in place to ensure that —

³⁵ A person who (or organisation that) is required to hold a licence under Section 15 and has been granted a licence under Section 17 of the Railways Act 2005.

³⁶ Section 7, Railways Act 2005

³⁷ Section 10, Railways Act 2005.

•••

(ii) safety-critical tasks and activities are clearly identified; and

(iii) rail personnel carrying out safety-critical tasks and activities have received appropriate training and instruction; and

(iv) the competence of rail personnel carrying out safety-critical tasks and activities has been appropriately tested.

3.20. Section 22 of the Act imposes a licence condition on licensed rail operators and rail access providers that:

if the rail activities are carried out by another person who does not hold a licence, the licence holder must take all reasonable steps (including providing necessary monitoring personnel and resources) to ensure that the person carries out those rail activities in accordance with the licence, the approved safety case and safety system and any relevant rules.

- •••
- 3.21. These sections of the Act require KiwiRail to provide appropriate training, supervision and testing of all personnel who conduct safety-critical tasks within its rail operation, including contractors and their subcontractors.

Fulton Hogan as contractor

- 3.22. Fulton Hogan was contracted by KiwiRail to undertake work within the rail corridor associated with the maintenance of the infrastructure.
- 3.23. Fulton Hogan had a 'Permit to Enter'³⁸ the rail corridor for the purpose of the work being undertaken. KiwiRail required a permit at any time that authority to enter the rail corridor was needed. The conditions of entry included a requirement for rail protection to be in place whenever works were less than 5 m from the rail line.
- 3.24. Fulton Hogan was a rail participant, specifically a maintenance provider.³⁹ Fulton Hogan carried out its work under KiwiRail's rail licence, safety case and safety system.

KiwiRail as licensed operator and rail access provider

- 3.25. KiwiRail, as the licence holder, had a duty to comply with its licence, safety case and safety system as outlined in section 11 of the Act.
- 3.26. Specifically, section 11(b) states in part:

A licence holder must:

...

(b) provide appropriate training and supervision of all rail personnel who do anything for, or on behalf of, it in respect of its rail activities, and ensure that those persons comply with—

(i) the conditions of its licence; and

³⁸ The necessary authority required for working in, or impacting on, KiwiRail rail operational areas, unless working under direct KiwiRail supervision.

³⁹ A person who provides maintenance services for any railway infrastructure or rail vehicle, whether or not that person engages rail personnel to do so on its behalf.

(ii) its approved safety case; and

(iii) its safety system.

3.27. The rail personnel in this instance included Fulton Hogan as the contractor and any sub-contractors that Fulton Hogan engaged to complete the tasks.

KiwiRail Safety Case

- 3.28. Section 24 of KiwiRail's safety case included a definition of 'safety-critical tasks' and details of the tasks of safety-critical workers.⁴⁰ These tasks were to be undertaken in accordance with standards, rules, codes, task instructions, role profiles and job descriptions.
- 3.29. Section 24 also required safety-critical workers to undertake formal training and qualify for licences to operate.
- 3.30. The safety case noted that the requirements for rail-specific training, certification and re-certification were specified in:
 - Rail Operating Rules and Procedures and Local Network Instructions and the Rail Operating Code, for rail operating qualifications
 - the relevant codes, standards, supporting documentation and career progression plans, for engineering and maintenance qualifications.
- 3.31. Section 25 of the safety case advised that KiwiRail provided an extensive range of technical and non-technical training to employees and contractors. The Learning, Design and Delivery Policy and the Operating Guidelines outlined KiwiRail's commitment to ensuring people had the knowledge and skills necessary to perform their jobs.
- 3.32. KiwiRail's Learning and Development team was responsible for applying and reinforcing business workplace performance standards, rules and Zero Harm practices in all learning activities it designed and/or delivered.
- 3.33. These responsibilities also included developing and implementing policies and procedures, assessing needs, developing and delivering courses, assessing learning and providing quality assurance on these processes.
- 3.34. All KiwiRail training was managed by a learning management system known as KiwiRail Learning Exchange. It included safety-critical training for employees and contractors.

KiwiRail training courses and certification

- 3.35. Training courses for and the certification of RPOs formed part of KiwiRail's safety system. To qualify as an RPO and obtain a licence to operate within the KiwiRail rail network, a trainee was required to complete prerequisite training and assessment.
- 3.36. The control and oversight of all facets of the training, supervision and assessment of trainee RPOs employed by KiwiRail were managed internally by KiwiRail.
- 3.37. The practical training on active worksites for RPOs employed by contractors was controlled and overseen by the contractors. KiwiRail provided theory-based training in the classroom and the OJT book, which specified the practical competencies

⁴⁰ A worker whose action or inaction may lead directly to a serious incident affecting the public or the rail network.

required in the workplace. Trainee RPOs were required to achieve the OJT practical competencies under the direct and close supervision of qualified RPOs.

3.38. Once the OJT competencies had been practised and completed, the trainee RPO's performance was assessed by KiwiRail-appointed assessors. If an assessor considered a trainee competent, the trainee would be 'signed off' and issued with a licence to operate. To maintain competency, all RPOs underwent safety observations throughout their careers.

Supervision of safety-critical tasks performed by trainees

- 3.39. KiwiRail required close supervision for all trainees conducting safety-critical tasks, in all aspects of its operation. These tasks included rail-protection duties conducted internally and by contractors.
- 3.40. On-the-Job Training was a key part of gaining the Licence to Operate competencies contained within KiwiRail's Rail Operating Rules and Procedures Section 10.3 and KiwiRail Safety Case Section 24. When a person was undertaking the OJT process, they were to be supervised at all times. The role of the supervisor was to ensure the protection method was being applied correctly, that there were no errors, and that coaching could be provided as required. The OJT Supervisor was required to:
 - hold the appropriate Full and Final Licence to Operate for the task being observed
 - have attended a KiwiRail Assessor/Verifier course or equivalent
 - have been nominated by the local Operational Safety Observer (KiwiRail, 2023).
- 3.41. KiwiRail's Operational Safety Advisor and the Protection Manager (South Island) reinforced the need for close supervision of trainees conducting safety-critical work. KiwiRail advised the Commission that this message was communicated continually to KiwiRail staff and contractors.
- 3.42. The safety-critical tasks undertaken by trainees remained the responsibility of the supervisor. The expectation was that, should the tasks not be performed correctly or go according to plan, the supervisor could provide the necessary guidance or intervene as required.

Qualifications of and training for supervisors

- 3.43. Certain KiwiRail business groups had well-defined processes for training that included approved courses for trainers in the KiwiRail Learning Exchange. For example, all locomotive engineers undertaking duties as trainers (known as minder drivers) were required to complete the Coaching for Competency training course.
- 3.44. The course was designed to equip 'On-the-Job coaches', trainers, minders, verifiers, buddies and approved persons with the skills and knowledge required to become effective trainers, deliver activities to support OJT and collect information for assessments.
- 3.45. Importantly the course emphasised that safety-critical tasks undertaken by the trainee were performed under the authority of the supervising trainer's 'licence to operate' and that trainees should never undertake safety-critical activities without direct supervision.
- 3.46. While the course was available to locomotive engineers undertaking duties as trainers, it had yet to be rolled out to other business units and contractors. In

addition, requirements for supervision and its definition in the context of safetycritical training were not clearly defined elsewhere.

- 3.47. The supervising RPO had been employed by Fulton Hogan in that role for about 7 years. Their experience in rail protection had spanned about 15 years and their competency in rail protection was current at the time of the incident.
- 3.48. A review of the supervising RPO's competencies showed that they had not completed the Coaching for Competency training course and had not been provided with any additional training on their role as trainer.
- 3.49. The supervising RPO was under the mistaken belief that the trainee RPO had undertaken the theory training and completed the tasks on previous occasions. They had formed the view that the trainee RPO was competent.
- 3.50. On the day of the incident, the supervising RPO made the decision to separate from the trainee RPO when setting up the compulsory-stop protection boards. They were also not at the safe place when the trainee RPO authorised the track workers to enter the rail corridor while Train 882 entered the PWA and was on approach to the worksite.
- 3.51. The Coaching for Competency training course, developed by KiwiRail Learning and Development, was designed to reinforce the role of trainers and, in the context of safety-critical tasks, ensure that trainers clearly understood that safety-critical tasks were to be undertaken only under their respective licences to operate. Although this course may not have been accessible to contractors, offering additional training for the role of supervisor/trainer was essential to gain the theoretical knowledge and practical skills required to effectively train and supervise employees.
- 3.52. Had the supervising RPO been provided with training specific to their role as a supervisor of a trainee, it is **likely** they would have been aware of the importance of closely supervising trainees undertaking safety-critical tasks.

Supervision of trainee Rail Protection Officer

Safety issue 2: The Fulton Hogan procedures and guidelines for the supervision of trainee RPOs were inadequate. They had not provided the supervisor RPO with additional training to perform this function. This increased the risk of the trainee RPO being left unsupervised or without the required level of supervision while performing safety-critical activities for which they were not yet qualified.

- 3.53. Fulton Hogan applied KiwiRail's methodology of training RPOs, in which they were teamed up with one or more qualified and suitably experienced RPOs to observe and then learn how to undertake each task.
- 3.54. During this OJT, it was expected that the trainee would receive supervision and regular feedback, gain experience and knowledge, and transition to undertaking tasks themselves while under supervision. These progressions would then be recorded in the trainee's OJT workbooks.
- 3.55. Fulton Hogan viewed the supervising RPO as an experienced, qualified operator. While the company encouraged its employees to obtain further qualifications, such as New Zealand Qualifications Authority unit standards on training and assessing, there were no requirements for these to be undertaken.

- 3.56. Fulton Hogan did not provide the supervising RPO with any additional training. It formed the view that the supervising RPO was competent in their role in track protection and had the necessary qualifications to undertake the training duties.
- 3.57. Had the supervising RPO been provided with additional training in supervision and coaching, they would have gained a better appreciation of the requirements of the role, and this would **likely** have influenced their decisions in overseeing the trainee RPO.

Engineering controls for worksite protection

Safety issue 3: The track work protection in place largely relied on administrative controls, which were subject to human error. KiwiRail did not consider utilising available engineering controls to mitigate the risk of human error resulting in a rail movement entering the worksite while it was occupied by track workers.

- 3.58. KiwiRail had implemented E-Protect as an engineering control on locomotives at this location. E-Protect was designed to automatically apply emergency braking and stop a locomotive in the event that a locomotive engineer did not take the appropriate actions at compulsory-stop boards of stopping and obtaining authority before entering a worksite.
- 3.59. E-Protect ensured that the locomotive was halted at the compulsory-stop board location. The locomotive engineer, upon stopping and receiving authorisation from the RPO, could then proceed through the PWA. This would provide an engineering safeguard to mitigate a locomotive engineer error but would not safeguard against errors by RPOs and other track workers.
- 3.60. Other engineering controls that KiwiRail had available included the Work Entry Train Alert (WETA) system (*see* Figure 11) a layer of defence additional to the existing compulsory-stop protection method that provides for protection at a worksite.
- 3.61. The WETA system consists of the following:
 - rail sensor units equipped with track sensors that detect the presence and direction of rail vehicles passing
 - central alerting units that, when activated, flash orange lights for 45 seconds and sound an alert for 20 seconds.
 - repeater units for long worksites that relay the messages between all units.
- 3.62. The WETA system broadcasts a message over radio channels when a train either enters or leaves a worksite. The message is relayed to the rail sensor units along the worksite and to personnel pagers unique to the system.



Figure 11: The WETA system (Credit: KiwiRail)

- 3.63. The WETA system was not employed at the worksite on this occasion; if it had been, an advance warning of the approaching train could have been sent to the trainee RPO.
- 3.64. The level-crossing alarm system was not a method of protection for the work being conducted. The proximity of the incident to these crossings provided early warning to the track workers and trainee RPO, and **very likely** reduced the consequences of the incident by giving them time to clear the worksite before the arrival of Train 882.
- 3.65. Had this incident occurred in a location without a level-crossing alarm system, the track workers would not have received a warning of the train approaching the worksite at line speed.
- 3.66. Had additional engineering controls been in place at the worksite, it is **very likely** that the trainee RPO and track workers would have been aware of the approach of Train 882.

4 Findings Ngā kitenga

- 4.1. The trainee Rail Protection Officer authorised track workers to enter the rail corridor after having authorised Train 882 to enter the Protected Work Area and while Train 882 was still approaching the worksite. Had the trainee RPO recorded the worksite start time on the TS92 logbook, it is **likely** they would have remembered that Train 882 had yet to pass the worksite and would not have authorised the track workers access to the rail corridor.
- 4.2. The level of supervision provided to the trainee RPO was inadequate and ineffective in ensuring that safety-critical tasks were performed safely.
- 4.3. Had the supervising RPO been present when the trainee RPO authorised the track workers to access the worksite while Train 882 was approaching, it is **likely** that they would have detected the error and taken the appropriate action to prevent the near miss incident.
- 4.4. The supervising RPO had not been provided with training to perform the function of supervisor.
- 4.5. The proximity of the incident to a level crossing enabled an early warning to the track workers and trainee RPO, and **very likely** reduced the consequences of the incident by giving them time to clear the worksite before the arrival of Train 882.
- 4.6. Engineering controls to mitigate errors by RPOs were available but not utilised at the worksite. Had an engineering control been in place at the worksite, it is **very likely** that the systems would have provided the trainee RPO and track workers with advanced warnings of the approach of Train 882 into the PWA and provided them with sufficient time to move to positions of safety.

5 Safety issues and remedial action Ngā take haumaru me ngā mahi whakatika

General

- 5.1. Safety issues are an output from the Commission's analysis. They may not always relate to factors directly contributing to the accident or incident. They typically describe a system problem that has the potential to adversely affect future transport safety.
- 5.2. Safety issues may be addressed by safety actions taken by a participant. Otherwise the Commission may issue a recommendation to address the issue.

Safety issue 1: KiwiRail, as the rail licence holder, did not take all reasonable steps to ensure that Fulton Hogan carried out track work protection in accordance with KiwiRail's safety case and safety system. KiwiRail's RPO training, provided to Fulton Hogan RPOs, did not describe the level or purpose of supervision of a trainee RPO.

- 5.3. KiwiRail is currently undertaking a safety case replacement project to review and rewrite its safety case, actively engaging with New Zealand Transport Agency Waka Kotahi on this project.
- 5.4. The Commission welcomes this safety action being taken by KiwiRail, but as the project is not yet completed, the Commission has made a recommendation in Section 6 to address this issue.

Safety issue 2: The Fulton Hogan procedures and guidelines for the supervision of trainee RPOs were inadequate. They had not provided the supervisor RPO with additional training to perform this function. This increased the risk of the trainee RPO being left unsupervised or without the required level of supervision, while performing safety-critical activities that they were not yet gualified to do.

5.5. As no action has been taken to address this safety issue, the Commission has made a recommendation in Section 6 to address this issue.

Safety issue 3: The track work protection in place largely relied on administrative controls, which were subject to human error. KiwiRail did not consider utilising available engineering controls to mitigate the risk of human error resulting in a rail movement entering the worksite while it was occupied by track workers.

5.6. As no action has been taken to address this safety issue, the Commission has made a recommendation in Section 6 to address this issue.

6 Recommendations Ngā tūtohutanga

General

- 6.1. The Commission issues recommendations to address safety issues found in its investigations. Recommendations may be addressed to organisations or people and can relate to safety issues found within an organisation or within the wider transport system that have the potential to contribute to future transport accidents and incidents.
- 6.2. In the interests of transport safety, it is important that recommendations are implemented without delay to help prevent similar accidents or incidents occurring in the future.

New recommendations

- 6.3. On 30 April 2025, the Commission recommended that KiwiRail take all necessary steps to ensure that contractors operating under its rail licence carry out track work protection in accordance with KiwiRail's safety case and safety system, particularly with respect to:
 - a. supervision of trainee RPOs
 - b. training of supervising RPOs. [039/25]
- 6.4. On 19 May 2025, KiwiRail replied:

This recommendation is accepted. KiwiRail is considering options which include enhancements to the On-the-job Training books to further ways in which the roles and responsibilities for both internal and external parties are verified and assessed.

6.5. On 30 April 2025, the Commission recommended that Fulton Hogan:

a. review and improve the procedures and guidelines for the supervision of trainee RPOs

b. provides appropriate training to supervisor RPOs to enable them to perform this function effectively. **[041/25]**

6.6. On 16 May 2025, Fulton Hogan replied:

Fulton Hogan has not seen the final report as requested. Fulton Hogan therefore confirms that the recommendation is under consideration. The recommendation was neither accepted nor rejected. Further consideration is required.

- 6.7. On 30 April 2025, the Commission recommended that KiwiRail utilise engineering controls on track worksites to mitigate the risk of human error by track workers carrying out safety-critical tasks. **[040/25]**
- 6.8. On 19 May 2025, KiwiRail replied:

This recommendation is accepted. KiwiRail is working on a number of projects including the Mobile Radio Warning System (MRWS), and other engineering

controls to keep track workers safe; along with opportunities to expand use of the Machine Avoidance System which is currently approved for use.

7 Key lessons Ngā akoranga matua

- 7.1. On-the-Job Training can introduce risks to an operation. Effective supervision of trainees undertaking safety-critical tasks is an important defence against unsafe acts.
- 7.2. Complex systems require robust engineering risk controls to guard against human performance limitations within the systems.
- 7.3. Administrative controls, which are vulnerable to human error and non-compliance, should not solely be relied upon to keep a system safe.

8 Data summary Whakarāpopoto raraunga

Vehicle particulars

| | Train type and number: | freight train 882 |
|--------|------------------------|---|
| | Classification: | DXB 5166 |
| | Year of manufacture: | 1976 |
| | Operator: | KiwiRail Holdings Ltd |
| Date | and time | 7 March 2024 0900 |
| Loca | tion | Main South Line, Christchurch |
| Oper | ating crew | a locomotive engineer and rail operator |
| Injuri | ies | nil |
| Dam | age | nil |

9 Conduct of the inquiry Te whakahaere i te pakirehua

- 9.1. On 8 March 2024, the New Zealand Transport Agency Rail Safety Regulator notified the Commission of the occurrence. The Commission subsequently opened an inquiry under section 13(1) of the Transport Accident Investigation Commission Act 1990 and appointed an Investigator-in-Charge.
- 9.2. The Commission issued a protection order under section 12 of the Transport Accident Investigation Commission Act 1990 and obtained documentation and records including:
 - Tranzlog data of the locomotives, signal logs, train control voice recordings and GPS logs
 - training records
 - completed documentation
 - standards, rules and procedures.
- 9.3. Commission investigators attended the site on 12 March 2024 and conducted a site investigation.
- 9.4. The Commission conducted interviews with track workers, rail protection officers, train crew and competency managers.
- 9.5. On 28 November 2024 the Commission approved a draft report for circulation to seven interested parties for their comments.
- 9.6. Three interested parties each provided a detailed submission, and three interested parties replied that they had no comment. The remaining interested party did not respond despite efforts to contact them. Any changes as a result of the submissions have been included in the final report.
- 9.7. On 30 April 2025, the Commission approved the final report for publication.

Abbreviations Whakapotonga

- DIB Daily Information Bulletin
- MSL Main South Line
- OJT On-the-Job Training
- PWA Protected Work Area
- RPO Rail Protection Officer
- TS92 TS92 Single Work Site Protected Area Logbook
- the Act the Railways Act 2005
- WETA Work Entry Train Alert system system

Glossary Kuputaka

| compulsory-stop protection | a sequence of boards used to protect rail personnel and rail vehicles from entering a Protected Work Area |
|-------------------------------|--|
| conduit | a tube or trough for protecting electric wiring |
| Daily Information Bulletin | a controlled instruction printed, typed or handwritten, issued by those authorised by the Rail Operating Rules |
| DOWN direction | trains running away from Otiria in the North Island and away from Picton in the South Island are travelling in the DOWN direction. |
| GeVis | a KiwiRail software application that displays dynamic geographical location of trains on the network and is not designed for use as a safe-working system but as a source of information |
| E-Protect | a system on locomotives that uses Global Positioning System (GPS) technology to monitor the speed of trains approaching compulsory-stop boards (CSB) and applies a penalty brake if a train does not stop at the CSB location. The system is designed only to enforce a stopping sequence. Once the train has stopped, the E-Protect system becomes inactive, allowing the train to be moved through the Protected Work Area. The placement of the compulsory-stop protection boards is a necessary reminder for locomotive engineers to prepare their trains for stopping at designated places to avoid emergency brake applications. |
| hydrovac truck | a vacuum excavator truck purpose-built for non-destructive digging and locating underground services |
| licence holder | a person who is required to hold a licence under Section 15 of the Railways Act 2005 and has been granted a licence under Section 17 of the Railways Act 2005 |
| lock-on frame | a metal frame device that contains numbered locations where individually assigned padlocks are attached, to signify |

| | that a padlock owner is occupying the Danger Area within a Protected Work Area. Before the RPO authorises personnel to leave the safe place, they must attach their RPO padlock to the lock-on frame handle. All personnel and visitors on site must then attach padlocks for themselves before leaving the safe place, and padlocks for any vehicles under their control that will foul the track. When clearing the track for a rail movement (train), personnel must secure vehicles under their control in a safe mode, clear of the track, before returning to the safe place and locking off. After removing their padlock, personnel must not foul the track until they are authorised to resume work by the RPO. The requirement to use the system was contained in KiwiRail's Track Safety Rule 902(g) |
|--------------------------------|---|
| maintenance provider | means a person who provides maintenance services for any railway infrastructure or rail vehicle, whether or not that person engages rail personnel to do so on its behalf; but does not include those rail personnel. |
| mobile-plant controller | the competent worker responsible for controlling mobile plant within a worksite. |
| On-the-Job Training | the placement of a trainee with a licensed practitioner in actual operations to carry out safety-critical tasks. The purpose of this training is for the trainee to gain exposure to a range of experiences and become fully competent in the designated tasks. |
| permit to enter | the necessary authority required for working in, or impacting on, KiwiRail rail operational areas, unless working under direct KiwiRail supervision. |
| Protected Work Area | a section of line or lines where rail personnel carry out activities using an approved protection method. Fixed and/or mobile worksites operate under the direction of a Rail Protection Officer in a Protected Work Area. |
| Protected Work Area Logbook | a record required to be completed when undertaking protection duties using compulsory-stop protection |
| rail corridor | the land between the legal boundaries of railway land, or land within 3 m of the centreline of any operational track where the land boundary is less than this distance |

| rail movement | the operation on rail of rail traffic |
|-------------------------|--|
| rail operator | means a person (organisation) who provides or operates a rail vehicle, whether or not that person engages rail personnel to do so or to assist in doing so on its behalf, but does not include those rail personnel. |
| rail participant | section 4 of the Railways Act 2005 defines a rail participant to mean any of the following: |
| | (a) an infrastructure owner |
| | (b) a rail vehicle owner |
| | (c) a railway premises owner |
| | (d) a rail access provider |
| | (e) a rail operator |
| | (f) a network controller |
| | (g) a maintenance provider |
| | (h) a railway premises manager |
| | (i) any other class of person prescribed as a rail participant by regulations. |
| rail personnel | in relation to a rail participant, means an individual engaged by the rail participant or by an agent or contractor of the rail participant, whether as an employee, agent, contractor, or volunteer, for the purposes of carrying out, or assisting in carrying out, rail activities of the rail participant. |
| Rail Protection Officer | the person with overall responsibility for providing rail protection for a Protected Work Area |
| risk control plan | documentation relating to Fulton Hogan's internal processes of documenting and managing risks on a worksite, incidental to the Rail Protection Officer's duties |
| safe place | a designated place where people and equipment cannot be struck by passing rail traffic |
| safety case | a comprehensive document that outlines the safety risks associated with a system or installation and explains how these risks are to be managed |

| safety critical | directly influencing safety (when applied to equipment or systems) |
|------------------------|--|
| safety-critical worker | a worker whose action or inaction may lead directly to a serious incident affecting the public or the rail network |
| safety observations | in-the-field assessments of rail personnel carrying out the application of practical skills for the activities specified on their Licences to Operate |
| safety system | in relation to a rail participant, means the written record of all the rail participant's management and operational policies and practices that relate to the safe conduct of rail activities; and includes the operational and training manuals |
| shunt | a generic term used to describe the movements of a locomotive, wagons or carriages using railway engines (shunts) designed for this purpose |
| stop board | a safe working notice board inscribed 'stop' and/or displaying a red coloured symbol, at which all trains must stop and must not pass until authorised |
| track worker | a competent worker whose primary duties are associated with work on or around infrastructure in the rail corridor |
| UP direction | trains running towards Otiria in the North Island and towards Picton in the South Island are travelling in the UP direction |
| work area | area consisting of single or multiple worksites under the control of a Rail Protection Officer |
| worksite | an area with defined limits that is protected so that work can be undertaken |

Citations Ngā tohutoru

Australian Transport Safety Bureau. (2017). RI-2014-011 Safe work on track across Australia: Analysis of incident data, 2009 – 2014.

KiwiRail. (2023). Track Protection Competencies Overview, p.7.

- Transport Accident Investigation Commission. (December 2016). Rail inquiry RO-2014-104 Express freight train striking hi-rail excavator within a protected work area, Raurimu Spiral, North Island Main Trunk line, 17 June 2014.
- Transport Accident Investigation Commission. (January 2022). Rail inquiry RO-2020-104 Safe Working Irregularity, East Coast Main Trunk Line, Hamilton – Eureka, 21 September 2020.
- Transport Accident Investigation Commission. (March 2024). *Rail inquiry RO-2023-103 Safeworking irregularity, 3.85 km mark, Johnsonville line tunnel 5, 04 May 2023.*
- Transport Accident Investigation Commission. (May 2020). *Rail inquiry RO-2019-101 Safeworking occurrence, Westfield yard, Ōtāhuhu, Auckland, 24 March 2019.*

Appendix 1 Daily Information Bulletin

| P 14:46 06-03-24 | | Information Bulletin (3 Pages) Thursday 07 March 2024 Lyttelton – Invercargill and Branches | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|---|--|------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| Train id | Depart | at | Arrive | at | Special Provisions | |
| 1926 | Dunedin | 0705 | Port Chalmers | 0730 | | |
| WT90 | Invercargill | 0730 | Invercargill | 1600 | | |
| 1927 | Port Chalmers | 0830 | Taieri (3.50 km) | 1000 | | |
| 1928 | Taieri (3.50 km) | 1230 | Dunedin | 1300 | | |
| Special I | nstructions: | | | | | |
| 1926, 192 | 27 & 1928, Dunedi | n Railway | s Hindon (Inlander) | service, | will run. 1927 will | |
| 1926, 192 load pass | engers on the Por | Chalmer | s Hindon (Inlander) s Branch at Beach S | service, St Level (| will run. 1927 will Crossing (1.74km) | |

Work Train No.90, may convey an EP van and rake of YJ wagons for ballast discharge at 535.00 km and 540.35 km between Waipahi and Charlton. N Martin 027 466 2853.

Vegetation Management, Islington - Timaru:

Between the hours of 0730 – 1730, vegetation management will be carried out between Islington and Timaru (clear of existing worksites). Protection in accordance with Rule 908 as required. L du Preez 021 174 0075.

Track Work Protection Arrangements

Lyttelton - Studholme:

| Christchurch Christchurch 72L Signal 73R Signal | | 908 Blocking | 1000 – 1400 Intermediate Track Skills Course D Jenden 027 296 2310 |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| 17.50 km ▲ Middleton Up & Down mains | 25.10 km ▲ Rolleston | 905 Compulsory Stop Protection | 0840 – 1730 Call sign: Tango Victor Whiskey Pit and Conduit Installation 027 601 5499 |

adjacent to the Down Mai activation.

Appendix 2 TS90 Work Site Register, lock-on frame and colour coded padlocks used





Appendix 3 Managing a Protected Work Area Job Aid – Single Work Site

RULE 902

MANAGING A PROTECTED WORK AREA

JOB AID

SINGLE WORK SITE

(Issue 1 – August 2017)

| 1 | The Lock On Frame must not be made available for <i>Lock On</i> until the RPO has entered a work site "<i>start</i>" time in the TS92 or has obtained a Mis71 or Mis88 authority: At the start of work, or When authorising work to resume after a rail movement. |
|---|---|
| 2 | The RPO must first attach the <i>green</i> RPO padlock to the Lock On Frame handle before making it available to personnel / vehicles to <i>lock on</i> . |
| 3 | Personal / vehicle padlocks must be secured in the <i>same slot number</i> recorded on the TS90 Work Site Register. |
| 4 | All personnel / vehicles, including visitors, must be <i>Locked On</i> before leaving the <i>Safe Place</i> . |
| | WARNING Personnel must retain their personal padlock key and <i>must not</i> give it other |

persons under any circumstances.



Rule 902 – Managing a Protected Work Area Job Aid – Single Work Site

Single Work Site - Pre-Start

[Compulsory Stop 905 / Lockout Zone 919]

| | Action By | | | 1 |
|---|---|-----|----|---|
| 1 | Work Site Access identified. | RPO | ws | |
| 2 | Safe Place identified. | RPO | ws | |
| 3 | TS90 Work Site Register / Lock On Frame prepared. | RPO | | |
| 4 | TS92 Protected Work Area Log Book prepared. | RP | 0 | |
| 5 | Worksite Briefing protection / rail specific hazards completed. | RP | 0 | |
| 6 | All personnel / vehicles signed on to Work Site Register. | RPO | | |
| 7 | Protected Work Area protection established. | RP | 0 | |

NOTE:

Sign in, brief and lock on persons joining Work Site during shift.

Sign out and lock off persons leaving

Instances of persons leaving a Work Site without signing off must be reported and logged as an incident.

RPO = Rail Protection Officer

WS = Work Supervisor

LH = Lock Holder



Rule 902 - Managing a Protected Work Area

Job Aid – Single Work Site

Single Work Site - Start / Clear / Resume

[Compulsory Stop 905 / Lockout Zone 919]

| [| Action | | | | 1 | |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-----|----------|---|--|
| | Start: | | | | | |
| | 1 | Compulsory Stop protection in place, or | 222 | | | |
| | | Lookout Lone(5) lookeu out. | | <u> </u> | | |
| | 2 | RPO enters "Start" time in TS92. | RF | | | |
| | 3 | RPO authorises work to start by attaching green padlock to Lock On Frame handle. | | | | |
| | 4 | All personnel / vehicles Lock On before leaving Safe Place. | LI | | | |
| | 5 | RPO checks TS90 and Lock On Frame to ensure all padlocks have been attached. | RF | | | |
| | Clear | r for Rail Movement: | | | | |
| | 1 | All vehicles secured in safe mode clear of track and personnel instructed to return to Safe Place and Lock Off . | RPO | LH | | |
| | 2 | RPO makes Lock On Frame available at <i>Safe Place</i> for all personnel and vehicles to <i>Lock Off.</i> | RPO | | | |
| | 3 | All personnel and vehicles Lock Off. | LH | | | |
| | 4 | RPO removes green padlock / displays clear Lock On Frame to RPO LH personnel. | | LH | | |
| | 5 | RPO enters clear time on TS92. | RPO | | | |
| | 6 | RPO authorises Rail movement to pass boards, or Release of lockout zone(s) Enters time rail movement authorised or lockout(s) released on TS92. | RPO | | | |
| | 7 | RPO maintains view of Safe Place and Track | RF | | | |
| | Resume after Rail Movement: | | | | | |
| | 1 | Confirm rail movement cleared beyond Work Site, or Lockout Zone(s) locked out. | RP | 0 | | |
| | 2 | Repeat "Start" steps 2 to 5, as above. | RP | 0 | | |



Rule 902 – Managing a Protected Work Area Job Aid – Single Work Site

Single Work Site - Completion of Work

[Compulsory Stop 905 / Lockout Zone 919]

| Action | | | By | |
|--------|--|------------|----|--|
| 1 | All remaining personnel and vehicles instructed to return to Safe Place RPO LH | | LH | |
| 2 | RPO makes Lock On Frame available at Safe Place for all personnel and vehicles to be Locked Off. | ionnel RPO | | |
| 3 | 3 All personnel and vehicles Lock Off. | | | |
| 4 | RPO removes green padlock / displays clear Lock On Frame to personnel. | RPO | LH | |
| 5 | RPO enters clear time on TS92. | 92. RPO | | |
| 6 | All personnel sign out on TS90 . | | | |
| 7 | RPO Authorises removal of Compulsory Stop protection and advises train control when compete. Authorises release of lockout zone (s). | RF | 20 | |

WARNING

When locked off persons / vehicles must not re-enter the corridor

Appendix 4 Railways Act 2005

4 Interpretation

(1) In this Act, unless the context otherwise requires,-

maintenance provider means a person who provides maintenance services for any railway infrastructure or rail vehicle, whether or not that person engages rail personnel to do so on its behalf; but does not include those rail personnel

Minister means the Minister of the Crown who, under the authority of any warrant or with the authority of the Prime Minister, is for the time being responsible for the administration of this Act

network controller means a person who authorises entry onto, occupancy of, or movement of rail vehicles on a railway line, whether or not that person engages rail personnel to do so on that person's behalf; but does not include that rail personnel

ordinary safety assessment means a safety assessment undertaken of all parts or any part of a rail participant's rail activities to enable the Director—

- (a) to gain appropriate assurances that those rail activities will continue to be conducted safely; or
- (b) to determine the action that must be taken by the rail participant so that those assurances may be gained

prime mover means an engine, motor, or other appliance that provides mechanical energy derived from steam, water, wind, electricity, gas, gaseous products, compressed air, the combustion of fuel, or any other source

rail activities has the meaning specified in subsection (2)

rail document means a document that a rail participant or any rail personnel is required to hold under this Act; and includes a licence

rail operator means a person who provides or operates a rail vehicle, whether or not that person engages rail personnel

rail participant means any of the following:

- (a) an infrastructure owner:
- (b) a rail vehicle owner:
- (c) a railway premises owner:
- (d) an access provider:
- (e) a rail operator:
- (f) a network controller:
- (g) a maintenance provider:
- (h) a railway premises manager:
- (i) any other class of person prescribed as a rail participant by regulations

11 Duty to comply with licences, safety cases, and safety systems

A licence holder must-

- (a) ensure that-
 - (i) every condition of its licence is complied with; and
 - (ii) its approved safety case is complied with; and
 - (iii) no serious or sustained breach of its safety system occurs; and

(b) provide appropriate training and supervision of all rail personnel who do anything for, or on behalf of, it in

- (i) the conditions of its licence; and
- (ii) its approved safety case; and
- (iii) its safety system.

Subpart 2-Licensing of rail participants

15 Certain rail participants must be licensed

- (1) The following rail participants must hold a licence:
 - (a) a rail operator:
 - (b) an access provider:
 - (c) a rail participant who is required by regulations to hold a licence.
- (2) If a person is a member of more than 1 class of rail participant to which subsection (1) applies, a single licence covering each of the classes of rail participant concerned may be issued to the person, and that licence may have different conditions for the different classes covered by it.
- (3) Despite subsection (1), the Director may, on the conditions that the Director considers appropriate, exempt a person from holding a licence if all of the rail activities of that person are covered under—
 - (a) the licence of another licence holder; and
 - (b) the approved safety case of that other licence holder.

Section 15(3): amended, on 1 April 2021, by section 133 of the Land Transport (NZTA) Legislation Amendment Act 2020 (2020 No 48).

22 Agents and contractors

 A licence may prohibit or restrict the licence holder from appointing agents or contractors to carry out any rail activities of the licence holder without the consent of the Director (which consent may not be unreasonably withheld).

- (2) Every licence has the condition that,-
 - (a) if any rail activities of the licence holder are carried out by another person who does not hold a licence to carry out those rail activities, the licence holder must take all reasonable steps (including providing necessary monitoring personnel and resources) to ensure that the person carries out those rail activities in accordance with
 - (i) the licence; and
 - (ii) the licence holder's approved safety case and safety system; and
 - (iii) any relevant rules; and
 - (b) the licence holder must give written notice to the Director of the appointment of, or a change in, any of the principal agents or contractors engaged by the licence holder to carry out any or all of the licence holder's rail activities.

Section 22(1): amended, on 1 April 2021, by section 139(1) of the Land Transport (NZTA) Legislation Amendment Act 2020 (2020 No 48). Section 22(2)(b): amended, on 1 April 2021, by section 139(2) of the Land Transport (NZTA) Legislation Amendment Act 2020 (2020 No 48).

30 Contents of safety case

- (1) A safety case must contain a statement or description, as appropriate, of the following:
 - (a) the rail activities of the rail participant, including details of the extent and geographical location of those rail activities:
 - (b) the safety policy and objectives of the rail participant and of how that policy and those objectives will be implemented or given effect:
 - (c) the management and organisational arrangements that the rail participant will establish in order to promote the safety of its rail activities:
 - (d) the management systems that the rail participant has in place to-
 - (i) identify and assess the safety risks arising from its rail activities; and
 - (ii) develop and implement safety risk control measures:
 - (e) the safety risks arising from the rail activities of the rail participant, and details of the measures to be in place to mitigate those risks:
 - (f) the process for ensuring that interoperability arrangements between the rail participant and other rail participants enhance rail safety:
 - (g) the arrangements that are in place to ensure that-
 - assets and equipment used are, in safety terms, fit for their purpose; and
 - (ii) safety-critical tasks and activities are clearly identified; and
 - (iii) rail personnel carrying out safety-critical tasks and activities have received appropriate training and instruction; and
 - (iv) the competence of rail personnel carrying out safety-critical tasks and activities has been appropriately tested; and
 - (v) working practices and procedures are fit for their purpose:

- the arrangements for procuring and maintaining evidence to ensure that the measures and processes necessary for safety are working as intended, including (but not limited to)—

- the identification of the key safety performance factors and measures, including (but not limited to) accidents and incidents; and
- (ii) the monitoring and recording of, and reporting on (both internally and to the Director), the key safety performance factors and measures, including (but not limited to) accidents and incidents; and
- (iii) the regular supervision, inspection, monitoring, and audit of the rail participant's safety case, safety system, and licence conditions; and
- (iv) when required, the provision of evidence to the Director substantiating the matters in subparagraphs (i) to (iii):
- the process by which, in consultation with the Director, the frequency of ordinary safety assessments under section 37 may be agreed:
- the arrangements for the rail participant to report to other relevant rail participants concerns about the state or performance of any rail vehicle, rail infrastructure, or railway premises that it considers has implications for the safe operation of the railway:
- (k) the policies in place to ensure that the rail participant's rail personnel
 - are fit for duty; and
 - are not suffering impairment or incapacity as a result of fatigue, illness, medication, drugs, alcohol, or any other factor:
- (l) the arrangements for ensuring that safety is maintained or continuously improved despite changes in circumstances that may affect the rail participant, its rail personnel, or any person that uses the rail participant's services, including (but not limited to)—
 - the continuous review of the rail participant's activities to identify potentially significant changes (both internal and external); and
 - (ii) the review and revision of the rail participant's safety case and safety system, as a whole and in its various
 parts, to ensure that its safety case and safety system continue to be the most appropriate; and
 - (iii) the identification of the areas of significant risk and the plans that are in place, or being developed, to reduce those risks:
- (m) the arrangements for ensuring that the rail participant consults any representatives of rail personnel (including, but not limited to, unions) with respect to the development and variation of safety systems that affect, or are likely to affect, rail personnel:
- any other matters that may be prescribed by the rules or that the Director considers appropriate in the interests of safety.

Kōwhaiwhai - Māori scroll designs

TAIC commissioned its four kōwhaiwhai, Māori scroll designs, from artist Sandy Rodgers (Ngāti Raukawa, Tūwharetoa, MacDougal). Sandy began from thinking of the Commission as a vehicle or vessel for seeking knowledge to understand transport accident tragedies and how to avoid them. A 'waka whai mārama' (i te ara haumaru) is 'a vessel/vehicle in pursuit of understanding'. Waka is a metaphor for the Commission. Mārama (from 'te ao mārama' – the world of light) is for the separation of Rangitāne (Sky Father) and Papatūānuku (Earth Mother) by their son Tāne Māhuta (god of man, forests and everything dwelling within), which brought light and thus awareness to the world. 'Te ara' is 'the path' and 'haumaru' is 'safe' or 'risk free'.

Corporate: Te Ara Haumaru - the safe and risk free path



The eye motif looks to the future, watching the path for obstructions. The encased double koru is the mother and child, symbolising protection, safety and guidance. The triple koru represents the three kete of knowledge that Tāne Māhuta collected from the highest of the heavens to pass their wisdom to humanity. The continual wave is the perpetual line of influence. The succession of humps represents the individual inquiries.

Sandy acknowledges Tāne Māhuta in the creation of this Kōwhaiwhai.

Aviation: Ngā hau e whā - the four winds



To Sandy, 'Ngā hau e whā' (the four winds), commonly used in Te Reo Māori to refer to people coming together from across Aotearoa, was also redolent of the aviation environment. The design represents the sky, cloud, and wind. There is a manu (bird) form representing the aircraft that move through Aotearoa's 'long white cloud'. The letter 'A' is present, standing for a 'Aviation'.

Sandy acknowledges Ranginui (Sky father) and Tāwhirimātea (God of wind) in the creation of this Kōwhaiwhai.

Maritime: Ara wai - waterways



The

sections of waves flowing across the design represent the many different 'ara wai' (waterways) that ships sail across. The 'V' shape is a ship's prow and its wake. The letter 'M' is present, standing for 'Maritime. Sandy acknowledges Tangaroa (God of the sea) in the creation of this Kōwhaiwhai.

Rail: rerewhenua - flowing across the land



The

design represents the fluid movement of trains across Aotearoa. 'Rere' is to flow or fly. 'Whenua' is the land. The koru forms represent the earth, land and flora that trains pass over and through. The letter 'R' is present, standing for 'Rail'.

Sandy acknowledges Papatūānuku (Earth Mother) and Tāne Mahuta (God of man and forests and everything that dwells within) in the creation of this Kōwhaiwhai.



Transport Accident Investigation Commission

Recent Rail Occurrence reports published by the Transport Accident Investigation Commission (most recent at top of list)

| RO-2023-106 | Passenger train 804, TranzAlpine, train parting, Arthur's Pass, 17 December 2023 |
|-------------|--|
| RO-2024-101 | Loaded coal train 850, signal passed at danger, Cora Lynn, Midland line, 27 February 2024 |
| RO-2023-104 | Passenger train (Te Huia) signal passed at danger and potential conflict, Penrose, Auckland, 17 June 2023 |
| RO-2021-104 | Passenger train 6205, train derailment, Kāpiti, 17 August 2021 |
| RO-2023-102 | Freight train 360, derailment, Te Puke, 29 January 2023 |
| RO-2023-101 | Hi rail vehicle collision near Te Puna, 86.43 km East Coast Main Trunk Line, 10 January 2023 |
| RO-2023-103 | Safe working irregularity, 3.85km, Johnsonville line, tunnel 5, 4 May 2023 |
| RO-2022-104 | Shunt train L51 and heavy goods vehicle, level crossing collision and derailment, Whangārei, 7 December 2022 |
| RO-2022-102 | L71 Mainline Shunt, derailment and subsequent rollover, Tamaki, 1 June 2022 |
| RO-2022-101 | Passenger train, fire in auxiliary generator wagon, Palmerston North, 11 May 2022 |
| RO-2022-103 | KiwiRail W6 shunt and Metro (Go Bus) Route 60 bus, near miss at Selwyn Street level crossing, Christchurch, 8 August 2022 |
| RO-2021-105 | Unintended movement resulting in locomotive and wagon entering Picton Harbour, Picton, 1 September 2021 |
| RO-2021-106 | Derailment of Train 220, South of Hunterville, 13 December 2021 |
| RO-2021-103 | Te Huia passenger service, train parting, North Island main trunk line, Paerata, 19 July 2021 |
| RO-2021-102 | Freight Train 391, collision with light truck, Saunders Road, Marton, 13 May 2021 |

Price \$20.00